

# An Explicit Construction of Quantum Expanders

Avraham Ben-Aroya \*      Oded Schwartz †      Amnon Ta-Shma ‡

## Abstract

Quantum expanders are a natural generalization of classical expanders. These objects were introduced and studied by [1, 3, 4]. In this note we show how to construct explicit, constant-degree quantum expanders. The construction is essentially the classical Zig-Zag expander construction of [5], applied to quantum expanders.

## 1 Introduction

Classical expanders are graphs of low degree and high connectivity. One way to measure the expansion of a graph is through the second eigenvalue of its adjacency matrix. This paper investigates the quantum counterpart of these objects, defined as follows. For a linear space  $\mathcal{V}$  we denote by  $L(\mathcal{V})$  the space of linear operators from  $\mathcal{V}$  to itself.

**Definition 1.1.** *We say an admissible superoperator  $G : L(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow L(\mathcal{V})$  is D-regular if  $G = \frac{1}{D} \sum_d G_d$ , and for each  $d \in [D]$ ,  $G_d(X) = U_d X U_d^\dagger$  for some unitary transformation  $U_d$  over  $\mathcal{V}$ .*

**Definition 1.2.** *An admissible superoperator  $G : L(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow L(\mathcal{V})$  is a  $(N, D, \bar{\lambda})$  quantum expander if  $\dim(\mathcal{V}) = N$ ,  $G$  is D-regular and:*

- $G(\tilde{I}) = \tilde{I}$ , where  $\tilde{I}$  denotes the completely-mixed state.
- For any  $\rho \in L(\mathcal{V})$  that is orthogonal to  $\tilde{I}$  (with respect to the Hilbert-Schmidt inner product, i.e.  $\text{Tr}(\rho\tilde{I}) = 0$ ) it holds that  $\|G(A)\| \leq \bar{\lambda} \|A\|$  (where  $\|X\| = \sqrt{\text{Tr}(XX^\dagger)}$ ).

A quantum expander is explicit if  $G$  can be implemented by a quantum circuit of size polynomial in  $\log(N)$ .

The notion of quantum expanders was introduced and studied by [1, 3, 4]. These papers gave several constructions and applications of these objects. The disadvantage of all the constructions given by these papers is that each construction is either constant-degree or explicit, but not both. In this paper we show how to construct explicit quantum expanders of constant-degree. Our construction is an easy generalization of the Zig-Zag expander construction given in [5].

---

\*Schools of Computer Science, Raymond and Beverly Sackler Faculty of Exact Sciences, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 69978, Israel. Email: abrhambe@post.tau.ac.il.

†School of Computer Science, Raymond and Beverly Sackler Faculty of Exact Sciences, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel. Email: odedsc@tau.ac.il.

‡School of Computer Science, Raymond and Beverly Sackler Faculty of Exact Sciences, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel. Email: ammon@tau.ac.il.

## 2 Preliminaries

We denote by  $\mathcal{H}_N$  the Hilbert space of dimension  $N$ .

For a linear space  $\mathcal{V}$ , we denote by  $L(\mathcal{V})$  the space of linear operators from  $\mathcal{V}$  to itself. We use the Hilbert-Schmidt inner product on this space, i.e. for  $X, Y \in L(\mathcal{V})$  their inner product is  $\langle X, Y \rangle = \text{Tr}(XY^\dagger)$ . The inner product gives rise to a norm  $\|X\| = \sqrt{\langle X, X \rangle} = \sqrt{\sum s_i(X)^2}$ , where  $\{s_i(X)\}$  are the singular values of  $X$ . Throughout the paper this is the only norm we use.

We also denote by  $U(\mathcal{V})$  the set of all unitary operators on  $\mathcal{V}$ , and by  $T(\mathcal{V})$  the space of superoperators on  $\mathcal{V}$  (i.e.  $T(\mathcal{V}) = L(L(\mathcal{V}))$ ).

Finally, we denote by  $\tilde{I}$  the identity operator normalized such that  $\text{Tr}(\tilde{I}) = 1$ . That is,  $\tilde{I}$  denotes the completely mixed state (on the appropriate space).

## 3 Explicit constant-degree quantum expanders

### 3.1 The basic operations

The construction uses as building blocks the following operations:

- **Squaring:** For a superoperator  $G \in T(\mathcal{V})$  we denote by  $G^2$  the superoperator given by  $G^2(X) = G(G(X))$  for any  $X \in L(\mathcal{V})$ .
- **Tensoring:** For superoperators  $G_1 \in T(\mathcal{V}_1)$  and  $G_2 \in T(\mathcal{V}_2)$  we denote by  $G_1 \otimes G_2$  the superoperator given by  $(G_1 \otimes G_2)(X \otimes Y) = G_1(X) \otimes G_2(Y)$  for any  $X \in L(\mathcal{V}_1), Y \in L(\mathcal{V}_2)$ .
- **Zig-Zag product:** For superoperators  $G_1 \in T(\mathcal{V}_1)$  and  $G_2 \in T(\mathcal{V}_2)$  we denote by  $G_1 \circledast G_2$  their Zig-Zag product. A formal definition of this is given in Section 4. The only requirement is that  $G_1$  is  $\dim(\mathcal{V}_2)$ -regular.

**Proposition 3.1.** *If  $G$  is a  $(N, D, \lambda)$  quantum expander then  $G^2$  is a  $(N, D^2, \lambda^2)$  quantum expander. If  $G$  is explicit then so is  $G^2$ .*

**Proposition 3.2.** *If  $G_1$  is a  $(N_1, D_1, \lambda_1)$  quantum expander and  $G_2$  is a  $(N_2, D_2, \lambda_2)$  quantum expander then  $G_1 \otimes G_2$  is a  $(N_1 \cdot N_2, D_1 \cdot D_2, \max(\lambda_1, \lambda_2))$  quantum expander. If  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are explicit then so is  $G_1 \otimes G_2$ .*

**Theorem 1.** *If  $G_1$  is a  $(N_1, D_1, \lambda_1)$  quantum expander and  $G_2$  is a  $(D_1, D_2, \lambda_2)$  quantum expander then  $G_1 \circledast G_2$  is a  $(N_1 \cdot D_1, D_2^2, \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_2^2)$  quantum expander. If  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are explicit then so is  $G_1 \circledast G_2$ .*

The proofs of Propositions 3.1 and 3.2 are trivial. The proof of Theorem 1 is given in Section 4.

### 3.2 The construction

The construction starts with some constant-degree quantum expander, and iteratively increases its size via alternating operations of squaring, tensoring and Zig-Zag products. The tensoring is used to square the dimension of the superoperator. Then a squaring operation improves the second eigenvalue. Finally, the Zig-Zag product reduces the degree, without deteriorating the second eigenvalue too much.

Suppose  $H$  is a  $(D^8, D, \lambda)$  quantum expander. We define a series of superoperators as follows. The first two superoperators are  $G_1 = H^2$  and  $G_2 = H \otimes H$ . For every  $t > 2$  we define

$$G_t = \left( G_{\lceil \frac{t-1}{2} \rceil} \otimes G_{\lfloor \frac{t-1}{2} \rfloor} \right)^2 \circledast H.$$

**Theorem 2.** *For every  $t > 0$ ,  $G_t$  is an explicit  $(D^{8t}, D^2, \lambda_t)$  quantum expander with  $\lambda_t = \lambda + O(\lambda^2)$ .*

The proof of this Theorem for classical expanders was given in [5]. The proof only relies on the properties of the basic operations. Proposition 3.1, Proposition 3.2 and Theorem 1 assure the required properties of the basic operations are satisfied in the quantum case as well. Hence, the proof of this theorem is identical to the one in [5] (Theorem 3.3) and we omit it.

### 3.3 The base superoperator

Theorem 2 relies on the existence of a good base superoperator  $H$ . In the classical setting, the probabilistic method assures us that a good base graph exists, and so we can use an exhaustive search to find one. The quantum setting exhibits a similar phenomena:

**Theorem 3.** ([4]) *There exists a  $D_0$  such that for every  $D > D_0$  there exist a  $(D^8, D, \lambda)$  quantum expander for  $\lambda = \frac{4\sqrt{D-1}}{D}$ <sup>1</sup>.*

We will use an exhaustive search to find such a quantum expander. To do this we first need to transform the searched domain from a continuous space to a discrete one. We do this by using a net of unitary matrices,  $S \subset U(\mathcal{H}_{D^8})$ .  $S$  has the property that for any unitary matrix  $U \in U(\mathcal{H}_{D^8})$  there exists some  $V_U \in S$  such that

$$\sup_{\|X\|=1} \|UXU^\dagger - V_U X V_U^\dagger\| \leq \lambda.$$

It is not hard to verify that indeed such  $S$  exists, with size depending only on  $D$  and  $\lambda$ . Moreover, we can find such a set in time depending only on  $D$  and  $\lambda$ <sup>2</sup>.

Suppose  $G$  is a  $(D^8, D, \lambda)$  quantum expander,  $G(X) = \frac{1}{D} \sum_{i=1}^D U_i X U_i^\dagger$ . We denote by  $G'$  the superoperator  $G'(X) = \frac{1}{D} \sum_{i=1}^D V_{U_i} X V_{U_i}^\dagger$ . Let  $X \in L(\mathcal{H}_{D^8})$  be orthogonal to  $\tilde{I}$ . Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \|G'(X)\| &= \left\| \frac{1}{D} \sum_{i=1}^D V_{U_i} X V_{U_i}^\dagger \right\| \leq \left\| \frac{1}{D} \sum_{i=1}^D U_i X U_i^\dagger \right\| + \frac{1}{D} \sum_{i=1}^D \|U_i X U_i^\dagger - V_{U_i} X V_{U_i}^\dagger\| \\ &\leq \|G(X)\| + \lambda \|X\| \leq 2\lambda \|X\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $G'$  is a  $(D^8, D, \frac{8\sqrt{D-1}}{D})$  quantum expander<sup>3</sup>. This implies that we can find a good base superoperator in time which depends only on  $D$  and  $\lambda$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>[4] actually shows that for any  $D$  there exist a  $(D^8, D, (1 + O(D^{-16/15} \log D)) \frac{2\sqrt{D-1}}{D})$  quantum expander.

<sup>2</sup>One way to see this is using the Solovay-Kitaev theorem (see, e.g., [2]). The theorem assures us that, for example, the set of all the quantum circuits of length  $O(\log^4 \epsilon^{-1})$  generated only by Hadamard and Toffoli gates give an  $\epsilon$ -net of unitaries. The accuracy of the net is measured differently in the Solovay-Kitaev theorem, but it can be verified that the accuracy measure we use here is roughly equivalent.

<sup>3</sup>We can actually get an eigenvalue bound of  $(1 + \epsilon) \frac{2\sqrt{D-1}}{D}$  for an arbitrary small  $\epsilon$  on the expense of increasing  $D_0$ .

## 4 The Zig-Zag product

Suppose  $G_1, G_2$  are two superoperators,  $G_i \in T(\mathcal{H}_{N_i})$ , and  $G_i$  is a  $(N_i, D_i, \lambda_i)$  quantum expander. We further assume that  $N_2 = D_1$ .  $G_1$  is  $D_1$ -regular and so it can be expressed as  $G_1(X) = \frac{1}{D_1} \sum_d U_d X U_d^\dagger$  for some unitaries  $U_d \in U(\mathcal{H}_{N_1})$ . We lift the ensemble  $\{U_d\}$  to a superoperator  $\dot{U} \in L(\mathcal{H}_{N_1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{D_1})$  defined by:

$$\dot{U}(|a\rangle \otimes |b\rangle) = U_b |a\rangle \otimes |b\rangle,$$

and we define  $\dot{G}_1 \in T(\mathcal{H}_{N_1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{D_1})$  by  $\dot{G}_1(X) = \dot{U}X\dot{U}^\dagger$ .

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $G_1, G_2$  be as above. The Zig-Zag product,  $G_1 \circledast G_2 \in T(\mathcal{H}_{N_1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{D_1})$  is defined to be  $(G_1 \circledast G_2)X = (I \otimes G_2)\dot{G}_1(I \otimes G_2^\dagger)X$ .

We claim:

**Proposition 4.2.** For any  $X, Y \in L(\mathcal{H}_{N_1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{D_1})$  such that  $X$  is orthogonal to the identity operator we have:

$$| \langle G_1 \circledast G_2 X, Y \rangle | \leq f(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \|X\| \cdot \|Y\|$$

where  $f(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_2^2$ .

And as a direct corollary we get:

**Theorem 1.** If  $G_1$  is a  $(N_1, D_1, \lambda_1)$  quantum expander and  $G_2$  is a  $(D_1, D_2, \lambda_2)$  quantum expander then  $G_1 \circledast G_2$  is a  $(N_1 \cdot D_1, D_2^2, \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_2^2)$  quantum expander. If  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are explicit then so is  $G_1 \circledast G_2$ .

**Proof:** Let  $X$  be orthogonal to  $\tilde{I}$  and let  $Y = (G_1 \circledast G_2)X$ . By Proposition 4.2  $\|Y\|^2 \leq f(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \|X\| \cdot \|Y\|$ . Equivalently,  $\|(G_1 \circledast G_2)X\| \leq f(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \|X\|$  as required.

The explicitness of  $G_1 \circledast G_2$  is immediate from the definition of the Zig-Zag product.  $\blacksquare$

We now turn to the proof of Proposition 4.2. We adapt the proof given in [5] for the classical case to the quantum setting. For that we need to work with linear operators instead of working with vectors. Consequently, we replace the vector inner-product used in the classical proof with the Hilbert-Schmidt inner product on linear operators, and replace the Euclidean norm on vectors, with the  $\text{Tr}(XX^\dagger)$  norm on linear operators. Interestingly, the same proof carries over to this generalized setting. One can get the proof below by simply going over the proof in [5] and doing the above translation. We provide the details here for completeness.

**Proof of Proposition 4.2:** We first decompose the space  $L(\mathcal{H}_{N_1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{D_1})$  to

$$\begin{aligned} W^{\parallel} &= \text{Span} \left\{ \sigma \otimes \tilde{I} \mid \sigma \in L(\mathcal{H}_{N_1}) \right\} \text{ and,} \\ W^{\perp} &= \text{Span} \left\{ \sigma \otimes \tau \mid \sigma \in L(\mathcal{H}_{N_1}), \tau \in L(\mathcal{H}_{D_1}), \langle \tau, \tilde{I} \rangle = 0 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Decompose  $X$  to  $X = X^{\parallel} + X^{\perp}$ , where  $X^{\parallel} \in W^{\parallel}$  and  $X^{\perp} \in W^{\perp}$ , and similarly  $Y = Y^{\parallel} + Y^{\perp}$ . By definition,

$$|\langle G_1 \circledast G_2 X, Y \rangle| = |\langle (I \otimes G_2) \dot{G}_1 (I \otimes G_2^\dagger) X, Y \rangle| = |\langle \dot{G}_1 (I \otimes G_2) (X^{\parallel} + X^{\perp}), (I \otimes G_2) (Y^{\parallel} + Y^{\perp}) \rangle|.$$

Opening to the four terms and pushing the absolute value inside, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle G_1 \circledast G_2 X, Y \rangle| &\leq |\langle \dot{G}_1 (I \otimes G_2) X^{\parallel}, (I \otimes G_2) Y^{\parallel} \rangle| + |\langle \dot{G}_1 (I \otimes G_2) X^{\parallel}, (I \otimes G_2) Y^{\perp} \rangle| + \\ &\quad |\langle \dot{G}_1 (I \otimes G_2) X^{\perp}, (I \otimes G_2) Y^{\parallel} \rangle| + |\langle \dot{G}_1 (I \otimes G_2) X^{\perp}, (I \otimes G_2) Y^{\perp} \rangle| \\ &= |\langle \dot{G}_1 X^{\parallel}, Y^{\parallel} \rangle| + |\langle \dot{G}_1 X^{\parallel}, (I \otimes G_2) Y^{\perp} \rangle| + \\ &\quad |\langle \dot{G}_1 (I \otimes G_2) X^{\perp}, Y^{\parallel} \rangle| + |\langle \dot{G}_1 (I \otimes G_2) X^{\perp}, (I \otimes G_2) Y^{\perp} \rangle| \end{aligned}$$

Where the last equality is due to the fact that  $I \otimes G_2$  is identity over  $W^{\parallel}$  (since  $G_2(\tilde{I}) = \tilde{I}$ ). In the last three terms we have  $I \otimes G_2$  acting on an operator from  $W^{\perp}$ . As expected, when this happen the quantum expander  $G_2$  shrinks the operator. Formally,

**Claim 4.3.** *For any  $Z \in W^{\perp}$  we have  $\|(I \otimes G_2)Z\| \leq \lambda_2 \|Z\|$ .*

We defer the proof for later. Having the claim we see that, e.g.,  $|\langle \dot{G}_1 X^{\parallel}, (I \otimes G_2) Y^{\perp} \rangle| \leq \|\dot{G}_1 X^{\parallel}\| \cdot \|(I \otimes G_2) Y^{\perp}\| \leq \lambda_2 \|X^{\parallel}\| \cdot \|Y^{\perp}\|$ . Similarly,  $|\langle \dot{G}_1 (I \otimes G_2) X^{\perp}, Y^{\parallel} \rangle| \leq \lambda_2 \|X^{\perp}\| \cdot \|Y^{\parallel}\|$  and  $|\langle \dot{G}_1 (I \otimes G_2) X^{\perp}, (I \otimes G_2) Y^{\perp} \rangle| \leq \lambda_2^2 \|X^{\perp}\| \cdot \|Y^{\perp}\|$ .

To bound the first term, we notice that on inputs from  $W^{\parallel}$  the operator  $\dot{G}_1$  mimics the operation of  $G_1$  with a random seed. Formally,

**Claim 4.4.** *For any  $A \in W^{\parallel}$  orthogonal to the identity operator and any  $B \in W^{\parallel}$  we have  $|\langle \dot{G}_1 A, B \rangle| \leq \lambda_1 \|A\| \cdot \|B\|$ .*

We again defer the proof for later. Having the claim we see that  $|\langle \dot{G}_1 X^{\parallel}, Y^{\parallel} \rangle| \leq \lambda_1 \|X^{\parallel}\| \cdot \|Y^{\parallel}\|$ . Denoting  $p_i = \frac{\|\rho_i^{\parallel}\|}{\|\rho_i\|}$  and  $q_i = \frac{\|\rho_i^{\perp}\|}{\|\rho_i\|}$  (for  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $\rho_1 = X$  and  $\rho_2 = Y$ ) we see that  $p_i^2 + q_i^2 = 1$ , and,

$$|\langle (G_1 \circledast G_2) X, Y \rangle| \leq (p_1 p_2 \lambda_1 + p_1 q_2 \lambda_2 + p_2 q_1 \lambda_2 + q_1 q_2 \lambda_2^2) \|X\| \cdot \|Y\|$$

Elementary calculus now shows that this is bounded by  $f(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \|X\| \cdot \|Y\|$ . ■

We still have to prove the two claims:

**Proof of Claim 4.3:**  $Z$  can be written as  $Z = \sum_i \sigma_i \otimes \tau_i$ , where each  $\tau_i$  is perpendicular to  $\tilde{I}$  and  $\{\sigma_i\}$  is an orthogonal set. Hence,

$$\|(I \otimes G_2)Z\| = \left\| \sum_i \sigma_i \otimes G_2(\tau_i) \right\| \leq \sum_i \|\sigma_i \otimes G_2(\tau_i)\| \leq \sum_i \lambda_2 \|\sigma_i \otimes \tau_i\| = \lambda_2 \|Z\|. ■$$

And,

**Proof of Claim 4.4:** Since  $A, B \in W^{\parallel\parallel}$ , they can be written as

$$A = \sigma \otimes \tilde{I} = \frac{1}{D_1} \sum_i \sigma \otimes |i\rangle\langle i|$$

$$B = \eta \otimes \tilde{I} = \frac{1}{D_1} \sum_i \eta \otimes |i\rangle\langle i|.$$

Moreover, since  $A$  is perpendicular to the identity operator, it follows that  $\sigma$  is perpendicular to the identity operator on the space  $L(\mathcal{H}_{N_1})$ . This means that applying  $G_1$  on  $\sigma$  will shrink it by at least a factor of  $\lambda_1$ .

Considering the inner product

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \dot{G}_1 A, B \rangle| &= \frac{1}{D_1^2} \left| \sum_{i,j} \text{Tr} \left( ((U_i \sigma U_i^\dagger) \otimes |i\rangle\langle i|) (\eta \otimes |j\rangle\langle j|^\dagger) \right) \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{D_1^2} \left| \sum_{i,j} \text{Tr} \left( (U_i \sigma U_i^\dagger \eta^\dagger) \otimes |i\rangle\langle i| |j\rangle\langle j| \right) \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{D_1^2} \left| \sum_i \text{Tr} \left( (U_i \sigma U_i^\dagger \eta^\dagger) \otimes |i\rangle\langle i| \right) \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{D_1^2} \left| \sum_i \text{Tr} \left( U_i \sigma U_i^\dagger \eta^\dagger \right) \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{D_1} \left| \text{Tr} \left( \left( \frac{1}{D_1} \sum_i U_i \sigma U_i^\dagger \right) \eta^\dagger \right) \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{D_1} |\langle G_1(\sigma), \eta \rangle| \leq \frac{\lambda_1}{D_1} \|\sigma\| \cdot \|\eta\| = \lambda_1 \|A\| \cdot \|B\|, \end{aligned}$$

where the inequality follows from the expansion property of  $G_1$  (and Cauchy-Schwartz). ■

## References

- [1] A. Ben-Aroya and A. Ta-Shma. Quantum expanders and the quantum entropy difference problem. arXiv:quant-ph/0702129.
- [2] C. M. Dawson and M. A. Nielsen. The Solovay-Kitaev algorithm. *Quantum Information & Computation*, 6(1):81–95, 2006.
- [3] M. B. Hastings. Entropy and entanglement in quantum ground states. *Phys. Rev. B*, 76(3):035114, 2007.
- [4] M. B. Hastings. Random unitaries give quantum expanders. Technical report, arXiv:0706.0556, 2007.

- [5] O. Reingold, S. Vadhan, and A. Wigderson. Entropy waves, the zig-zag graph product, and new constant-degree expanders. *Ann. of Math.*, 155(1):157–187, 2002.